

REMARKS

The Office action dated March 13, 2006, and the references cited therein have been carefully reviewed in light of the examiner's helpful comments and suggestions.

As a result of the Office action, a number of objections in connection with the specification have been raised. By the above amendment, the specification has been amended to overcome those objections. No new matter has been added.

Moreover, claim 21 has been rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. 6,941,782 in view of U.S. 2,513,710. Submitted herewith is a terminal disclaimer to overcome that rejection. No new matter has been added. Therefore, it is now believed that claim 21 and dependent claims 22-28 are allowable.

Each issue raised in the Office action dated March 13, 2006, has been addressed and it is believed that claims 21-28 are in condition for allowance. Wherefore, Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,  
DENNISON, SCHULTZ  
& MACDONALD



By:

Amir H. Behnia  
Reg. No. 50,215  
(703) 837-9600 Ext. 16